Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. nissen.AA28888
June 28, 2016
©ESO 2016

arXiv:1606.08399v1 [astro-ph.SR] 27 Jun 2016

High-precision abundances of Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba in solar twins

Trends of element ratios with stellar age ⋆

P. E. Nissen

Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 120, DK–8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. e-mail: pen@phys.au.dk.

Received 5 May 2016 / Accepted 15 June 2016

ABSTRACT

Aims. A previous study of correlations between element abundances and ages of solar twin stars in the solar neighborhood is extended to include Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba to obtain new information on the nucleosynthetic history of these elements.
Methods. HARPS spectra with $S/N \gtrsim 600$ are used to derive very precise ($\sigma \sim 0.01$ dex) differential abundances of Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba for 21 solar twins and the Sun. The analysis is based on MARCS model atmospheres with parameters determined from the excitation and ionization balance of Fe lines. Stellar ages with internal errors less than 1 Gyr are obtained by interpolation in the $\log g - T_{\rm eff}$ diagram between isochrones based on the Aarhus Stellar Evolution Code.
Results. For stars younger than 6 Gyr, [Sc/Fe], [Mn/Fe], [Cu/Fe], and [Ba/Fe] are tightly correlated with stellar age, which is also the case for the other elements previously studied. Linear relations between [X/Fe] and age have $\chi^2_{\rm red} \sim 1$, and for most stars the residuals do not depend on elemental condensation temperature. For ages between 6 and 9 Gyr, the [X/Fe] - age correlations break down and the stars split up into two groups having respectively high and low [X/Fe] for the odd-Z elements Na, Al, Sc, and Cu.
Conclusions. While stars in the solar neighborhood younger than ∼ 6 Gyr were formed from interstellar gas with a smooth chemical evolution, older stars seem to have originated from regions enriched by supernovae with different neutron excesses. Correlations between abundance ratios and stellar age suggest that: i) Sc is made in Type II supernovae along with the α-capture elements; ii) the Type II to Ia yield ratio is about the same for Mn and Fe; iii) Cu is mainly made by the weak *s*-process in massive stars; iv) the Ba/Y yield ratio for AGB stars increases with decreasing stellar mass; v) [Y/Mg] and [Y/Al] can be used as "chemical clocks" when determining ages of solar metallicity stars.

Key words. Stars: abundances – Stars: fundamental parameters – Stars: solar-type – Galaxy: disk – Galaxy: evolution

1. Introduction

Precise determinations of stellar abundances by Meléndez et al. (2009) and Ramírez et al. (2009) have revealed significant variations of abundance ratios among solar twin stars that are correlated with elemental condensation temperature, $T_{\rm C}$ (Lodders 2003). Interestingly, the Sun has a higher ratio between volatile and refractory elements than the majority of solar twins, which could be caused by sequestration of refractory elements in terrestrial planets in the solar system. If so, the slope of [X/Fe] [1] versus $T_{\rm C}$ may be used as a signature of the occurrence of rocky planets around stars. Alternatively, slope differences could be due to dust-gas separation in connection with star formation (Önehag et al. 2014; Gaidos 2015).

High-precision abundance studies based on HARPS spectra also point to variations of the [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ slope among solar-type stars (González Hernández et al. 2010, 2013; Adibekyan et al. 2014), but the slope does not seem to depend on the occurrence of terrestrial planets. The same conclusion is reached by Schuler et al. (2015) based on detailed abundances of seven Kepler stars hosting small planets. Instead, Adibekyan et al. (2014), Maldonado et al. (2015), and Maldonado & Villaver (2016) find a correlation between the [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ slope and stellar age. This is confirmed by Nissen (2015, hereafter Paper I), who from a study of precise abundances of 21 solar twins in the solar neighborhood finds that ratios between volatile and refractory elements, e.g., [C/Fe] and [O/Fe], are correlated with stellar age. The same result is obtained by Spina et al. (2016b) for 13 solar twins belonging to the thin-disk population. In both studies there are, however, indications of significant [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ slope differences at a given age suggesting that other processes than chemical evolution play a role. This is supported by studies of stellar twins in wide binary systems, e.g., 16 Cyg (Ramírez et al. 2011; Tucci Maia et al. 2014) and XO-2 (Teske et al. 2015; Biazzo et al. 2015; Ramírez et al. 2015) for which there are differences in [Fe/H] and the [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ slope between the components.

According to the results obtained in Paper I and by Spina et al. (2016b), the differences of abundance ratios among solar twin stars are mainly due to Galactic chemical evolution. The variation of the [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ slope is a secondary effect that introduces some scatter in the [X/Fe] - age trends, but it does not prevent us from obtaining new knowledge about nucleosynthesis from the relations between abundance ratios and stellar age. Given that the relative ages of solar twins can be determined with a precision better than 1 Gyr (see Paper I), we may in this way get more direct information on Galactic chemical evolution than by studying the change of abundance ratios as a

⋆ Based on data products from observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programs 072.C-0488, 088.C-0323, 183.C-0972, 188.C-0265.

[1] For two elements, X and Y, with number densities $N_{\rm X}$ and $N_{\rm Y}$, [X/Y] $\equiv \log(N_{\rm X}/N_{\rm Y})_{\rm star} - \log(N_{\rm X}/N_{\rm Y})_{\rm Sun}$.

function of metallicity, which method is hampered by a large scatter in the age-metallicity relation (Edvardsson et al. 1993; Nordström et al. 2004; Haywood et al. 2013).

As shown in Paper I, the abundances of C, O, Mg, Al, and Zn relative to Fe for solar twins belonging to the thin-disk population increase by 0.10 to 0.15 dex as the stellar age increases from ~ 1 to 8 Gyr. This can be explained if C, O, Mg, Al, and Zn are mainly made in high-mass stars exploding as Type II supernovae (SNe) on a relatively short time scale, whereas Type Ia SNe provide an important contribution to Fe on a longer time scale. [Si/Fe], [S/Fe], and [Ti/Fe] change in the same way as a function of age but with an amplitude of about 0.05 dex only. [Ca/Fe] on the other hand is nearly the same for all ages with a scatter of 0.01 dex only, which is a surprise because Ca is normally considered to be an α-capture element mainly produced in Type II SNe like Mg, Si, and S. [Na/Fe] and [Ni/Fe] show considerable scatter among the older stars but are tightly correlated with each other. Furthermore, [Y/Fe] increases steeply with decreasing stellar age, which can be explained by an increasing contribution to the abundance of *s*-process elements from low-mass AGB stars in the course of time (Travaglio et al. 2004).

In the present paper, the study of solar twins in Paper I is extended to include Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba. The origin and nucleosynthesis of these elements have been much discussed (e.g., Romano et al. 2010; Serminato et al. 2009), which to some extent is due to uncertainties in the metallicity and age trends. In some works (Gratton & Sneden 1991; Prochaska & McWilliam 2000), the Sc/Fe ratio is found to be close to solar at all metallicities, whereas other studies (Zhao & Magain 1990; Nissen et al. 2000; Reddy et al. 2006; Adibekyan et al. 2012; Battistini & Bensby 2015) point to enhanced Sc abundances (relative to Fe) in metal-poor thick-disk stars similar to the enhancement of α-elements. Mn and Cu are found to be under-abundant relative to Fe for metal-poor disk and halo stars (Sneden et al. 1991; Mishenina et al. 2002; Reddy et al. 2006; Feltzing et al. 2007; Nissen & Schuster 2011; Adibekyan et al. 2012; Battistini & Bensby 2015; Mishenina et al. 2015a) if spectral lines are analyzed assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). Corrections for non-LTE effects (Bergemann & Gehren 2008; Yan et al. 2015, 2016) modify, however, the metallicity trends of [Mn/Fe] and [Cu/Fe] very significantly. In the case of Ba, some investigations point to enhanced [Ba/Fe] values in young stars (Edvardsson et al. 1993; Bensby et al. 2007). This is, however, not confirmed by Mishenina et al. (2013).

In the following Sect. 2, the stellar parameters and abundances derived in Paper I are reviewed, and ages based on the Aarhus Stellar Evolution Code are determined and compared to the ages derived in Paper I from Yonsei-Yale (YY) isochrones. The determination of Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba abundances is presented in Sect. 3. Trends of abundance ratios as a function of stellar age and elemental condensation temperature are discussed in Sect. 4 together with some considerations about the nucleosynthesis of odd-*Z* and neutron-capture elements and the use of [Y/Mg] or [Y/Al] as "chemical clocks" for determining stellar ages. A summary and some conclusions are given in Sect. 5.

2. Stellar parameters and ages

The solar twins were selected from the analysis of HARPS spectra (Mayor et al. 2003) by Sousa et al. (2008) to have atmospheric parameters that agree with those of the Sun within ±100 K in effective temperature, $T_{\rm eff}$, ±0.15 dex in logarithmic surface gravity, $\log g$, and ±0.10 dex in metallicity, [Fe/H]. The range in $\log g$ is somewhat larger than the range (±0.10 dex) adopted by Ramírez et al. (2009) in their definition of a solar twin. It is also noted that none of the stars is a "perfect good solar twin" (Cayrel de Strobel 1996) in the sense that it has the same mass, age, and composition as the Sun within the estimated errors. The stars range in age from 0.7 Gyr to about 9 Gyr and show a diversity of chemical compositions including large differences in lithium abundance correlated with stellar age (Carlos et al. 2016).

As an additional selection criteria, only stars having HARPS spectra with a signal-to-noise ratio $S/N \geq 600$ were included. This resulted in a list of 21 solar twins, three of which have enhanced [α/Fe] ratios and probably belong to the thick-disk population (Haywood et al. 2013) or a special population of high-α metal-rich (hαmr) stars (Adibekyan et al. 2011). The other 18 stars have typical thin-disk abundances and kinematics. All stars have distances less than 60 pc according to their Hipparcos parallaxes (van Leeuwen 2007).

The extremely high S/N and resolution ($R \simeq 115\,000$) of the HARPS spectra make it possible to determine very precise parameters and abundances of the solar twins relative to the Sun. The analysis in Paper I was made differentially, line-by-line, relative to a HARPS solar flux spectrum with $S/N \sim 1200$ observed in reflected sunlight from the asteroid Vesta. $T_{\rm eff}$ and $\log g$ were determined by requesting that the iron abundances derived have no systematic dependence on excitation and ionization potential of the Fe lines applied. The estimated errors of the parameters are $\sigma(T_{\rm eff}) = \pm 6$ K and $\sigma(\log g) = \pm 0.012$ dex, and abundances of C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, Ti, Cr, Fe, Ni, Zn, and Y were determined with a typical precision of ±0.01 dex.

By interpolating to the observed values of $T_{\rm eff}$, $\log g$, [Fe/H], and [α/Fe] in a set of YY isochrones (Yi et al. 2001; Kim et al. 2002), stellar ages were derived in Paper I[2]. An internal age precision of 0.4 to 0.8 Gyr was estimated, but due to possible systematic errors in the model calculations, the ages of the youngest and oldest stars may be more uncertain. In order to test this, the Aarhus Stellar Evolution Code (ASTEC) described by Christensen-Dalsgaard (2008) has been used to calculate isochrones in the $T_{\rm eff}$ - $\log g$ plane for a range of compositions covering those of the solar twins. In contrast to the YY models, the ASTEC models include diffusion and settling of heavy elements (Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. 1993). Therefore, the ASTEC ages were obtained by interpolating in the surface heavy element abundance (Z_s) for the isochrones to the observed Z-value of the star.

As seen from Fig. 1, the ASTEC ages of the oldest stars are systematically higher than the ages derived from YY isochrones. A linear fit to the data gives

$$Age\,(\mathrm{ASTEC}) = -0.3\,(\pm 0.1) + 1.13\,(\pm 0.02)\; Age\,(\mathrm{YY})\,[\mathrm{Gyr}] \quad (1)$$

with a standard deviation of 0.28 Gyr corresponding to 0.20 Gyr on each of the two sets of ages. The residuals in the fit are to some degree correlated with stellar metallicity, which may be due to different assumptions about the change of helium abundance as a function of heavy element abundance. For the ASTEC models $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 1.4$ is adopted, whereas the YY models are based on $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 2.0$. In any case, the scatter in the relation between the two sets of ages is smaller than the age errors (0.4 to 0.8 Gyr) arising from the uncertainties in $T_{\rm eff}$ and $\log g$. Hence, the use of a different sets of isochrones has only a small effect when comparing stars of similar age, but it affects the age scale;

[2] The YY isochrones were offset by +0.005 dex in $\log g$ to get an age of 4.55 Gyr for the Sun.



Fig. 1. Comparison of stellar ages derived from YY and ASTEC isochrones. The line shows the linear fit to the data given by Eq. (1). The dotted line shows the 1:1 relation.

for the oldest stars, 10 % higher ages are derived when applying ASTEC instead of YY isochrones.

The YY and ASTEC models are based on similar prescriptions for energy generation, opacity and equations of state, and are calibrated on the Sun adopting a mass ratio between heavy elements and hydrogen of $(Z/X)_\odot = 0.0244$ at the surface (Grevesse et al. 1996). Both sets of models include helium diffusion, but as mentioned above only the ASTEC models include diffusion of heavy elements, which means that the opacity in the interior of an ASTEC model of an old star is higher than in a YY model with the same surface abundance. This may be the reason for the different age scales.

As a further test of systematic errors in the ages, ASTEC isochrones have been compared to the often used Padova [3] (PARSEC) isochrones (Bressan et al. 2012), which are also based on stellar models including diffusion of heavy elements. There is an excellent agreement between the two sets of isochrones at solar heavy element abundance for the $T_{\rm eff}$ - $\log g$ ranges of solar twins suggesting that the ASTEC and PARSEC age scales are about the same.

Considering that the inclusion of heavy element diffusion makes the ASTEC models more realistic than the YY models, stellar ages derived from the ASTEC isochrones will be used in the present paper. The relations between abundance ratios and stellar age given in Paper I may be converted to the ASTEC age scale by using Eq. (1).

3. Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba abundances

Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba abundances are determined from the spectral lines listed in Table 1. The lines selected have nearby "continuum" regions almost free of lines. Equivalent widths (EWs) were measured by Gaussian fitting using the IRAF `splot` task except for the Mn I 5394.69 Å line that has a "boxlike" profile due to hyperfine splitting and was measured by direct integration [4]. Care was taken to use the same continuum windows in all stars. To illustrate the high quality of the spectra, Fig. 2 shows a comparison of the spectrum of HD 96116 with the solar spectrum for the regions of the Cu I 5782.12 Å and Ba II 5853.70 Å lines. HD 96116 is the youngest star in the sample (age $\simeq$ 0.7 Gyr) and has a somewhat higher temperature ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 5846 K) and surface gravity ($\log g$ = 4.50) but almost he same metallicity ([Fe/H] = 0.006) as the Sun. As seen, the Cr I and Fe I lines have nearly the same strengths in the two spectra, whereas the Cu I line is weaker and the Ba II line is stronger in the spectrum of HD 96116. As we shall see in Sect. 4, this is related to the different trends of [Cu/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] as a function of stellar age.

Table 1. Line data and equivalent widths measured for the HARPS solar flux spectrum.

ID	Wavelength [Å]	$\chi_{\rm exc}$ [eV]	$EW_\odot$ [mÅ]
Sc II	5684.20	1.507	37.1
Sc II	6245.65	1.507	33.1
Mn I	5004.89	2.920	14.0
Mn I	5394.69	0.000	79.7
Mn I	5399.50	3.850	39.1
Mn I	5432.55	0.000	51.7
Mn I	6013.52	3.073	87.3
Mn I	6016.67	3.075	96.6
Mn I	6021.81	3.075	95.9
Cu I	5218.20	3.820	53.6
Cu I	5782.12	1.642	79.2
Ba II	5853.70	0.604	63.9
Ba II	6496.90	0.604	100.3

The set of MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008) described in Paper I and the Uppsala BSYN program were used to calculate equivalent widths as a function of element abundance assuming LTE. Interpolation to the observed EW then provides the stellar abundance. Hyperfine splitting with data from Prochaska et al. (2000) was taken into account as described by Nissen & Schuster (2011). Microturbulence velocities were adopted from Paper I, and collisional broadening was included in the Unsöld (1955) approximation using an enhancement factor of two.

Abundance errors arising from the EW measurements are estimated by comparing abundances derived from the individual lines. Given that a homogeneous set of spectra has been used, we may calculate an average standard deviation of [X/Fe]

$$< \sigma[\mathrm{X/Fe}] > = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm stars}} \sigma_i^2[\mathrm{X/Fe}] \,/\, N_{\rm stars}} \qquad (2)$$

where

$$\sigma_i^2[\mathrm{X/Fe}] = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\rm lines}} ([\mathrm{X/Fe}]_{k,i} - < [\mathrm{X/Fe}]_i >)^2 \,/\, (N_{\rm lines} - 1) \qquad (3)$$

is the variance for a given star. The corresponding average standard error of the mean value of [X/Fe]

$$\sigma_{\rm EW} = < \sigma[\mathrm{X/Fe}] > \,/\, \sqrt{N_{\rm lines}} \qquad (4)$$

[3] `http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd`

[4] The magnetic sensitive Mn I 5394.69 Å line in the solar flux spectrum has been found to vary in strength over the solar cycle (Danilovic et al. 2016), but the Mn abundances of the solar twins derived from this line show no excessive variation relative to the Mn abundances derived from the other Mn I lines



Fig. 2. The solar flux HARPS spectrum (red line) in comparison with the HARPS spectrum of HD 96116 (blue line) near the Cu I line at 5782.12 Å (left panel) and the Ba II line at 5853.70 Å (right panel). The lower panels show the difference (HD 96116 – Sun) between the two spectra.

Table 2. Errors of abundance ratios.

	$\sigma_{\rm atm.par.}$ [dex]	$\sigma_{\rm EW}$ [dex]	$\sigma_{\rm adopted}$ [dex]
[Sc/Fe]	0.007	0.006	0.010
[Mn/Fe]	0.002	0.003	0.006
[Cu/Fe]	0.004	0.006	0.009
[Ba/Fe]	0.008	0.011	0.014
[Sc/Mg]	0.008	0.011	0.014
[Cu/Na]	0.005	0.007	0.010
[Y/Mg]	0.008	0.011	0.014
[Y/Al]	0.008	0.008	0.012
[Al/Mg]	0.003	0.012	0.013

is given in column 3 of Table 2. This error is only 0.003 dex in the case of Mn that has seven lines available, but is higher for elements with abundances based on two lines.

In addition to the error arising from the EW measurements, the uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters contribute to the total error of the abundances. For some abundance ratios, this error is small, because the derived abundances of two elements may have approximately the same dependence on $T_{\rm eff}$, $\log g$, [Fe/H], and microturbulence. As a continuation of Table 4 in Paper I, the two sets of errors are given in Table 2 for the abundance ratios discussed in the present paper.

As the solar twins have similar atmospheric parameters, differential non-LTE corrections are expected to be small. Still, there could be spurious trends of abundance ratios as a function of $T_{\rm eff}$, $\log g$, and [Fe/H], if non-LTE effects are not taken into account. Thus, Bergemann & Gehren (2008) found that the non-LTE correction for Mn increases with decreasing metallicity. Using the same model atom, M. Bergemann (private communication) has calculated non-LTE corrections for the solar twins in this paper. The amplitude of the corrections is ±0.01 dex over the metallicity range from [Fe/H] = −0.10 to +0.10 dex and have been applied although the effect on the [Mn/Fe]-age relation is marginal.

In the case of Cu, Yan et al. (2015, 2016) have derived non-LTE corrections that increase with decreasing metallicity in the same way as for Mn. Based on the corrections for the 5218.2 and 5782.1 Å lines (Yan et al. 2015, Table 2), it is possible to estimate the change of non-LTE corrections relative to those of the Sun as a function of $T_{\rm eff}$, $\log g$, and [Fe/H]. Applying these relations to the solar twins results in differential non-LTE corrections reaching +0.015 dex for stars having [Fe/H] ∼ −0.1 and low gravity. Hence, the corrections are of some importance for the age trends of Cu and have been taken into account. The same method has been applied for Ba adopting non-LTE corrections calculated by Korotin et al. (2015) for the 5853.7 and 6496.9 Å Ba II lines. This results in rather small differential corrections for the solar twins reaching an extreme of −0.007 dex at the lowest gravities. In the case of Sc, non-LTE effects are very small for Sc II lines (Zhang et al. 2008, 2014), so differential corrections for solar twins have not been applied.

In Paper I, non-LTE corrections (Lind et al. 2011, 2012) were applied for Na and Fe. For the other elements studied, differential non-LTE effects were either estimated to be negligible or non-LTE calculations were not available. Furthermore, all non-LTE calculations are based on 1D model atmospheres; 3D non-LTE calculations may give somewhat different results. To take this additional uncertainty into account, an error of 0.005 dex as in Paper I has been added in quadrature to the errors arising from the uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters and the EW measurements. This leads to the adopted errors of [X/Fe] given in the last column of Table 2.

4. Results and discussion

The derived values of [Sc/Fe], [Mn/Fe], [Cu/Fe], and [Ba/Fe] are given in Table 3 together with the atmospheric parameters and ages derived from the ASTEC isochrones. Masses determined from the ASTEC evolutionary tracks are also included. They compare very well with the masses determined from Yonsei-Yale stellar evolutionary tracks (Yi et al. 2003) given in Paper I; the average deviation (YY – ASTEC) is 0.005 $M_\odot$ with a rms deviation of 0.010 $M_\odot$ suggesting that the masses have a precision of about 0.01 $M_\odot$.

Table 3. Stellar atmospheric parameters, ages, masses, and abundance ratios.

Star	$T_{\rm eff}$ [K]	$\log g$	[Fe/H]	$\xi_{\rm turb}$ [km s^{-1}]	Age[a] [Gyr]	σ(Age) [Gyr]	Mass[a] [$M_\odot$]	[Sc/Fe]	[Mn/Fe]	[Cu/Fe]	[Ba/Fe]
HD 2071	5724	4.490	−0.084	0.96	3.5	0.8	0.97	0.000	−0.014	−0.036	0.081
HD 8406	5730	4.479	−0.105	0.95	4.1	0.8	0.96	−0.009	−0.025	−0.064	0.123
HD 20782	5776	4.345	−0.058	1.04	8.1	0.4	0.97	0.005	−0.047	−0.036	−0.031
HD 27063	5779	4.469	0.064	0.99	2.8	0.6	1.03	−0.026	−0.015	−0.052	0.090
HD 28471	5754	4.380	−0.054	1.02	7.3	0.4	0.97	0.063	−0.032	0.035	−0.010
HD 38277	5860	4.270	−0.070	1.17	7.8	0.4	1.01	0.026	−0.053	−0.015	−0.032
HD 45184	5871	4.445	0.047	1.06	2.7	0.5	1.05	0.002	−0.023	−0.025	0.060
HD 45289[b]	5718	4.284	−0.020	1.06	9.4	0.4	0.99	0.110	−0.055	0.060	−0.039
HD 71334	5701	4.374	−0.075	0.98	8.8	0.4	0.94	0.049	−0.028	0.003	−0.027
HD 78429	5756	4.272	0.078	1.05	8.3	0.4	1.02	0.007	−0.043	0.014	−0.006
HD 88084	5768	4.424	−0.091	1.02	5.9	0.6	0.97	0.063	−0.014	0.027	−0.013
HD 92719	5813	4.488	−0.112	1.00	2.5	0.6	0.99	0.009	−0.036	−0.048	0.142
HD 96116	5846	4.503	0.006	1.02	0.7	0.7	1.04	−0.055	−0.027	−0.098	0.190
HD 96423	5714	4.359	0.113	0.99	7.3	0.6	1.01	0.038	−0.020	0.037	−0.036
HD 134664	5853	4.452	0.093	1.01	2.4	0.5	1.06	−0.023	−0.028	−0.040	0.085
HD 146233	5809	4.434	0.046	1.02	4.0	0.5	1.03	−0.001	−0.016	−0.021	0.064
HD 183658	5809	4.402	0.035	1.04	5.2	0.5	1.02	0.026	0.006	0.036	−0.028
HD 208704	5828	4.346	−0.091	1.08	7.4	0.4	0.98	0.029	−0.043	−0.012	−0.011
HD 210918[b]	5748	4.319	−0.095	1.07	9.1	0.4	0.97	0.076	−0.070	0.007	−0.006
HD 220507[b]	5690	4.247	0.013	1.07	9.8	0.4	1.00	0.115	−0.053	0.094	−0.045
HD 222582	5784	4.361	−0.004	1.07	7.0	0.4	1.00	0.058	−0.019	0.059	−0.024

Notes. [(a)] The age and mass are derived from ASTEC models . [(b)] α-enhanced star .

In the following subsections, the new data on Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba are discussed together with the abundances for C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, Ti, Cr, Fe, Ni, Zn, and Y derived in Paper I.

4.1. Age correlations

Figures 3 and 4 show abundances relative to Fe as a function of stellar age. As seen, all ratios are tightly correlated with age for stars younger than 6 Gyr, but the [X/Fe]-age relations of odd-Z elements and Ni tend to break down for the older stars. This is mainly due to a group of five stars marked in red, which have low values of [Na/Fe], [Al/Fe], [Mn/Fe], [Sc/Fe], [Ni/Fe], and [Cu/Fe] relative to the group of three stars marked in green (to be named as low- and high-[Na/Fe] stars, respectively).

Linear fits ([X/Fe] = $a+b\cdot Age$) for the 10 stars younger than 6 Gyr were determined by a maximum likelihood program that includes errors in both coordinates (Press et al. 1992). The coefficients a and b are given in Table 4 together with the reduced chi-squares, which are satisfactory close to one. For most elements, the [X/Fe]-age slopes are steeper than those given in Paper I, where all stars except the three α-enhanced were included in the age fits. These differences in slope arise because [X/Fe] for stars older than 6 Gyr tend to lie below the fits obtained for stars younger than 6 Gyr, not only for the odd-Z elements and Ni but also in the cases of C, Si, S, and Zn.

Interestingly, there are also differences in the kinematics of the groups of stars shown in Fig. 3. According to data from the Geneva-Copenhagen survey (Nordström et al. 2004; Holmberg et al. 2009), the ten stars younger than 6 Gyr have about the same mean Galactocentric distance[5], $R_{\rm m}$, in their orbits. The average value is $< R_{\rm m} > = 8.1$ kpc (i.e., close to the mean Galactocentric distance of the Sun, $R_{\rm m,\odot} \simeq 8.2$ kpc) with a rms dispersion of ±0.4 kpc. Assuming that $R_{\rm m}$ is a proxy of the Galactocentric distance of the stellar birthplace (Wielen 1977; Grenon 1987; Edvardsson et al. 1993) despite of the possible effect of radial migration (Sellwood & Binney 2002; Schönrich & Binney 2009; Gustafsson et al. 2016), it follows that the solar twins younger than 6 Gyr and the Sun were born

Table 4. Linear fits of [X/Fe] as a function of age for stars younger than 6 Gyr, i.e., without including the Sun.

	a [dex]	b 10^{-3} dex Gyr^{-1}	σ[X/Fe][a] [dex]	$\chi^2_{\rm red}$
[C/Fe]	−0.157 ± 0.017	+30.0 ± 4.6	0.026	1.4
[O/Fe]	−0.078 ± 0.017	+11.0 ± 4.5	0.026	1.1
[Na/Fe]	−0.119 ± 0.011	+25.0 ± 3.1	0.020	1.1
[Mg/Fe]	−0.046 ± 0.005	+11.8 ± 1.4	0.009	0.5
[Al/Fe]	−0.086 ± 0.009	+21.6 ± 2.1	0.015	0.9
[Si/Fe]	−0.042 ± 0.006	+10.5 ± 1.6	0.009	1.0
[S/Fe]	−0.083 ± 0.008	+15.8 ± 2.1	0.013	0.5
[Ca/Fe]	+0.026 ± 0.007	−2.4 ± 2.1	0.010	2.6
[Sc/Fe]	−0.074 ± 0.010	+21.5 ± 2.9	0.017	1.1
[Ti/Fe]	−0.017 ± 0.007	+7.9 ± 1.8	0.011	1.0
[Cr/Fe]	+0.018 ± 0.004	−3.9 ± 1.2	0.007	1.2
[Mn/Fe]	−0.043 ± 0.007	+7.2 ± 2.0	0.010	1.3
[Ni/Fe]	−0.072 ± 0.010	+14.8 ± 2.7	0.015	1.8
[Cu/Fe]	−0.126 ± 0.013	+28.8 ± 3.6	0.024	1.1
[Zn/Fe]	−0.101 ± 0.007	+19.3 ± 2.1	0.013	0.6
[Y/Fe]	+0.132 ± 0.012	−28.9 ± 3.3	0.020	0.9
[Ba/Fe]	+0.235 ± 0.024	−47.8 ± 6.7	0.041	1.5

Notes. [(a)] Standard deviation of [X/Fe] for the linear fit .

[5] $R_{\rm m}$ is defined as the mean value of the peri- and apo-galactocentric distances



Fig. 3. Abundance ratios as a function of stellar (ASTEC) age. For stars younger than 6 Gyr (black filled circles) the lines show linear fits to the data. The Sun, shown with the ⊙ symbol, was not included in the fits. Red filled circles show five old stars with low [Na/Fe] relative to three stars with similar age shown with green filled circles. Three [α/Fe]-enhanced stars are shown with open blue circles.

within a narrow range in Galactocentric distance. This makes it understandable that these stars originate from interstellar gas with a good mixing of SNe products causing abundance ratios to develop in a smooth and homogeneous way. For solar twins older than 6 Gyr, the dispersion in $R_{\rm m}$ is larger. The three high-Na stars have $<R_{\rm m}>= 7.5 \pm 0.7$ kpc and the five low-Na stars have $<R_{\rm m}>= 7.2 \pm 0.8$ kpc. Hence, the differences of abundance ratios among stars with ages between 6 and 9 Gyr may be related to spatial inhomogeneities in the chemical evolution of the early Galactic disk.

The differences in [X/Fe] for Na, Al, Sc, Mn, Ni, and Cu for stars in the 6 to 9 Gyr age range cannot be explained by spacial variations in the ratio between Type II and Type Ia SNe. Such variations would also affect [X/Fe] for the α-elements, but as seen from Fig. 3, there is no or only a very small difference in [O/Fe], [Mg/Fe], [Si/Fe], [S/Fe], [Ca/Fe], and [Ti/Fe] between the high- and low-[Na/Fe] stars. Instead, the variations in [X/Fe] for odd-Z elements may be connected to a dependence of Type II SNe yields on heavy element abundance (especially C and O) of the progenitors (Kobayashi et al. 2006, Table 3). This dependence arises because the production of the odd-Z elements in core-collapse SNe is affected by the neutron excess, which is controlled by the ^{22}Ne (α, n) ^{25}Mg reaction, where ^{22}Ne comes from double α-capture on ^{14}N made in CNO burning at the expense of C and O. Hence, one could imagine that the low-[Na/Fe] stars were formed in regions having a lower heavy-element abundance than the regions where the high-[Na/Fe] stars were formed. Furthermore, the correlation between variations of [Ni/Fe] and [Na/Fe] may be explained if the yield of the dominating isotope, ^{58}Ni, depends on the neutron excess as suggested by Venn et al. (2004).



Fig. 4. [Y/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] as a function of stellar age with the same symbols as in Fig. 3.

There is no significant trend of [X/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] for Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba. Excluding the three α-enhanced stars, the average rms scatter at a given [Fe/H] is σ[Sc/Fe] = 0.033, σ[Mn/Fe] = 0.014, σ[Cu/Fe] = 0.042, and σ[Ba/Fe] = 0.071 dex. This lack of correlation between [X/Fe] and [Fe/H] is related to the fact that there is no correlation between metallicity and age for the solar twins, but a very significant dispersion (σ[Fe/H] $\sim \pm 0.07$ dex) at a given age as seen from the panel with [Fe/H] in Fig. 3.

The [X/Fe]-age slopes given in Table 4 agree remarkably well with the slopes determined by Spina et al. (2016b) for a sample of 13 solar twins with ages less than 6 Gyr. For 14 elements, the *b*-coefficients agree within 1-sigma and for two elements (O and Ca) within 2-sigma. Only in the case of Mn, there appears to be a significant difference. Spina et al. obtain a steeper slope, $b = 18.4 \pm 3.2\,10^{-3}$ dex Gyr^{-1}, in comparison with $b = 7.2 \pm 2.0\,10^{-3}$ dex Gyr^{-1} in this work.

It is also interesting to compare with the age trends obtained by da Silva et al. (2012) for a sample of 25 solar-type stars having 5500 K $< T_{\rm eff} <$ 6100 K and $-0.3 <$ [Fe/H] $< +0.3$ for which they derived [X/Fe] abundance ratios with errors ranging from 0.03 to 0.07 dex. They divided the stars into two groups, younger and older than the Sun, and looked for correlations between [X/Fe] and age for each group. Slope coefficients are not given, but from Fig. 11 in da Silva et al. (2012) it is seen that the trends agree qualitatively with the trends determined in the present paper. [Mg/Fe], [Si/Fe], and [Zn/Fe] increase with age for both age groups, whereas [Ca/Fe] and [Cr/Fe] are nearly constant. [C/Fe], [Na/Fe], and [Ni/Fe] increase with age for the young group. [Y/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] decrease with increasing age, but [Ba/Fe] flattens out for the old stars like in Fig. 4 of this paper. Furthermore, [Mn/Fe] increases with age for the young group but decreases for for the old group as also found in present paper. Altogether, the results of da Silva et al. (2012) suggest that the age trends found for solar twins are shared by solar-type stars with a larger range of metallicities.

Furthermore, Spina et al. (2016a) have recently determined new high-precision abundances and ages for nine solar twins and discussed the trends of abundance ratios with age for a total of 41 solar twins having thin-disk kinematic and ages between 1 and 8 Gyr (on the Yonsei-Yale age scale) including the stars in Spina et al. (2016b) and Paper I. The [X/Fe]-age results agree well with those shown in Fig. 3 of the present paper, but the data are fitted by hyperbolic functions, which in the cases of [Na/Fe] and [Ni/Fe] have a maximum around 5 Gyr. According to this interpretation [Na/Fe] and [Ni/Fe] increase from 1 to 4 Gyr but decrease from 6 to 8 Gyr. This is an interesting interpretation of the data, but it remains to be seen if a maximum in [Na/Fe] and [Ni/Fe] at ~5 Gyr can be explained by chemical evolution models.

4.2. [X/Fe] - $T_{\rm C}$ *trends*

It is clear from Fig. 3 and the discussion in Sect. 4.1 that variations in abundance ratios among solar twins younger than 6 Gyr are mainly due to differences in age. Still, it is interesting to investigate if there is a correlation between the residuals in the [X/Fe]-age relations, i.e., [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ = [X/Fe] $-$ ($a + b \cdot Age$), and elemental condensation temperature (Lodders 2003). As mentioned in Sect. 4.1, the mean Galactocentric orbital distance, $R_{\rm m}$, of these stars are about the same, so a possible dependence of the $T_{\rm C}$-slope on $R_{\rm m}$ (Adibekyan et al. 2014, 2016) is not an issue.

Fig. 5 shows [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ as a function of $T_{\rm C}$ for the Sun and stars younger than 6 Gyr. The lines show linear fits, [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ = $c + d \cdot T_{\rm C}$, obtained by weighting each point by the inverse square of the error of [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ calculated by adding σ[X/Fe], $b \cdot \sigma(Age)$, and the error of the [X/Fe] - age fit at the age of the star in quadrature. Y and Ba are included in the fits, but due to the relatively large error of [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ for these two elements, they have only a small effect on the fit.

The coefficients of the [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ - $T_{\rm C}$ fits and their 1-sigma errors are given in Table 5. As seen, only the Sun and HD 96116 show a significant trend of [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ as a function of $T_{\rm C}$. For the other stars, the [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ - $T_{\rm C}$ slope deviates less than 2-sigma from zero slope. For the same stars, the (uncorrected) [X/Fe]-$T_{\rm C}$ slope ranges from zero to $7 \cdot 10^{-5}$dex K^{-1} and depends on stellar age (see Fig. 14 in Paper I). This shows the importance of correcting for Galactic evolution before discussing correlations between [X/Fe] and $T_{\rm C}$.

Interestingly, the Sun [6] has the most significant variation of [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ with $T_{\rm C}$, i.e., a slope of $-2.6 \pm 0.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$dex K^{-1}. Thus, it seems that the Sun has an exceptional high ratio between volatile and refractory elements when compared to solar twins as first found by Meléndez et al. (2009). They did not correct for Galactic evolution of abundance ratios, but the mean age of their sample of 11 solar twins was estimated to be 4.1 Gyr, i.e. close to the age of the Sun. The variation of [X/Fe] over a $T_{\rm C}$ range of 1800 K was found to be $\sim$ 0.08 dex compared to $\sim$ 0.05 dex in this paper. An even smaller $T_{\rm C}$-variation, $\sim$ 0.04 dex, was found by Spina et al. (2016b), when comparing the Sun to 13 solar twins (including corrections for chemical evolution). These different results may arise because the Sun is compared to different samples of solar twins. For the sample in this paper, only one star (HD 96116) out of 10 has a negative [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ - $T_{\rm C}$ slope like the Sun, whereas the slopes for the other stars are within $\pm 1.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$dex K^{-1}. In contrast, Spina et al. (2016b) found evidence of a larger range of $T_{\rm C}$-slopes, $\pm 4 \cdot 10^{-5}$dex K^{-1}. Obviously, a larger sample of solar twins is needed to obtain better

[6] The errors of [X/Fe]$_{\rm res}$ for the Sun are somewhat smaller than the corresponding errors for the stars because the $b \cdot \sigma(Age)$ error contribution is negligible for the Sun.



Fig. 5. Abundance ratios corrected for Galactic evolution as a function of elemental condensation temperature for the Sun and ten solar twins with ages less than 6 Gyr. Element designations are shown in the panel for HD 183658.

Table 5. Linear fits, $[X/Fe]_{res} = c + d \cdot T_C$.

Star	c [dex]	d [10^{-5}dex K^{-1}]	σ[X/Fe]$_{res}$ [dex]
Sun	+0.030 ± 0.009	−2.6 ± 0.7	0.008
HD 2071	+0.014 ± 0.014	−0.7 ± 1.1	0.009
HD 8406	−0.026 ± 0.015	+1.8 ± 1.1	0.032
HD 27063	−0.004 ± 0.013	+0.4 ± 1.0	0.012
HD 45184	+0.008 ± 0.011	−0.5 ± 0.9	0.017
HD 88084	−0.018 ± 0.013	+1.3 ± 1.0	0.014
HD 92717	−0.002 ± 0.012	+0.5 ± 0.9	0.020
HD 96116	+0.032 ± 0.015	−2.4 ± 1.1	0.009
HD 134614	−0.012 ± 0.012	+0.7 ± 0.9	0.014
HD 146233	−0.009 ± 0.011	+0.5 ± 0.9	0.013
HD 183658	+0.018 ± 0.012	−1.6 ± 0.9	0.012

information on the distribution of the [X/Fe]$_{res}$ - T_C slopes to see how exceptional the Sun is.

4.3. Nucleosynthesis and chemical evolution

As discussed in Paper I, the decreasing trend of [X/Fe] with decreasing stellar age for elements made in massive stars is probably due to an increasing contribution of Fe from Type Ia SNe in the course of time. The flat distribution of [Ca/Fe] is a problem, but may be explained if low luminosity SNe synthesizing large amounts of Ca (Perets et al. 2010) are contributing to the chemical evolution of Ca (Mulchaey et al. 2014). [Cr/Fe] is also nearly constant in time, indicating that the ratio of contributions from Type II and Ia SNe is about the same for Cr and Fe. Furthermore, the steep increase of [Y/Fe] with decreasing age is probably due to the delayed contribution of *s*-process elements from low-mass AGB stars. In the following, it is discussed how the elements analyzed in this paper (Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba) fit into these interpretations of the [X/Fe]-age trends.

4.3.1. Scandium

As reviewed by Romano et al. (2010), Sc is made in core-collapse (Type II) SNe during neon burning and explosive oxygen and silicon burning (Woosley & Weaver 1995). Type Ia SNe give a negligible contribution to Sc according to Iwamoto et al. (1999). Calculated yields (e.g., Timmes et al. 1995; Kobayashi et al. 2006) lead to too low Sc/Fe ratios compared to observed values, but this problem may be solved by including neutrino interactions (Fröhlich et al. 2006; Yoshida et al. 2008).

It has been much discussed if Sc follows Fe, i.e., [Sc/Fe] ∼ 0 at all metallicities (Gratton & Sneden 1991; Prochaska & McWilliam 2000) or if Sc behaves like an α-capture element, i.e., [Sc/Fe] ∼ 0.2 in metal-poor halo and thick-disk stars (Zhao & Magain 1990; Nissen et al. 2000; Reddy et al. 2006; Adibekyan et al. 2012; Battistini & Bensby 2015). The solar twin data support the second possibility as seen from Fig. 6. Although there is a rise of [Sc/Mg] with increas-



Fig. 6. [Sc/Mg] as a function of stellar age with the same symbols as in Fig. 3. The line shows a quadratic least squares fit to the data with all stars and the Sun included.



Fig. 7. [Cu/Na] as a function of stellar age with the same symbols as in Fig. 3. The line shows a quadratic least squares fit to the data with all stars and the Sun included.

ing age for stars younger than 6 Gyr and a corresponding decline with age for the older stars, the total variation of [Sc/Mg] is not greater than 0.05 dex and the various groups of stars agree well with the fitted quadratic relation. In contrast, [Sc/Fe] shows a total variation close to 0.2 dex that cannot be well fitted by a simple function over the whole age range from 1 to 10 Gyr.

4.3.2. Manganese

Mn can be made by explosive silicon burning and nuclear statistical processes in Type II SNe (Woosley & Weaver 1995) and in Type Ia SNe (Iwamoto et al. 1999). Calculated yields are uncertain, because they depend on assumptions related to the explosion mechanism, mass cut and number of electrons per nucleon (Thielemann et al. 1996; Mishenina et al. 2015a). According to the yield calculations of Kobayashi et al. (2006), the Type Ia to Type II yield ratio is about three times higher than the corresponding ratio for Fe. Since long, this has been considered as an explanation of the increasing trend of [Mn/Fe] with increasing [Fe/H] found from LTE analysis of Mn I lines in spectra of halo and disk stars (Reddy et al. 2006; Feltzing et al. 2007; Adibekyan et al. 2012; Battistini & Bensby 2015; Mishenina et al. 2015a). In addition, Cescutti et al. (2008) have argued that in order to explain the different [Mn/Fe]-[Fe/H] trends in the Galactic bulge, the solar neighborhood, and the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy, one has to invoke metallicity dependent Type Ia SNe yields.

Interestingly, [Mn/Fe] in the solar twins vary very little with age as seen from Fig. 3. For stars older than 6 Gyr, there is a slight increase in [Mn/Fe] with decreasing age as one would expect if Type Ia SNe are more important contributors to Mn than to Fe. However, at ages less than 6 Gyr, [Mn/Fe] decreases slightly as time goes on. This does not agree with a rize of [Mn/Fe] of about 0.3 dex, when [Fe/H] increases from −1 to solar metallicity, as found when analyzing Mn I lines in LTE. The solar twin results are in better agreement with the near-constancy of [Mn/Fe] as a function of [Fe/H] derived by Bergemann & Gehren (2008) and Battistini & Bensby (2015), when non-LTE effects are taken into account. At [Fe/H] ~ -1, the non-LTE correction for F and G dwarfs amounts to approximately +0.3 dex.

4.3.3. Copper

Two processes have been suggested for the nucleosynthesis of Cu in massive stars: explosive Ne-burning (Woosley & Weaver 1995) and the weak *s*-process in which neutrons from the ^{22}Ne (α, n) ^{25}Mg reaction are added to iron-seed nuclei (e.g., Raiteri et al. 1993). Furthermore, Matteucci et al. (1993) argued that a substantial fraction of Cu has to be made in Type Ia SNe in order to explain the increase of [Cu/Fe] with metallicity, but this is not supported by yield calculations (Iwamoto et al. 1999; Kobayashi et al. 2006). More recently, Romano & Matteucci (2007) showed that [Cu/Fe] versus [Fe/H] for stars in the solar neighborhood and in ω Cen (Cunha et al. 2002; Pancino et al. 2002) can be explained by metallicity dependent yields of massive stars without invoking any contribution from Type Ia SNe.

As seen from Fig. 3, the pattern of [Cu/Fe] versus age looks very much the same as the pattern of [Na/Fe], and the slope coefficients for stars younger than 6 Gyr agree within the errors, i.e., $b = 0.025 \pm 0.003$ dex Gyr^{-1} for [Na/Fe] and $b = 0.029 \pm 0.004$ dex Gyr^{-1} for [Cu/Fe]. This is also seen in Fig. 7, where [Cu/Na] is plotted as a function of stellar age. [Cu/Na] is nearly constant for ages up to 6 Gyr and although the ratio rises somewhat at older ages, high- and low-[Na/Fe] stars (marked in green and red, respectively) as well as the thick-disk stars (marked in blue) follow the same [Cu/Na]-age relation. This points to a close connection between the nucleosynthesis of Na and Cu. Since the differences of [Na/Fe] among the older stars are probably due to differences in the neutron excess arising from the ^{22}Ne (α, n) ^{25}Mg reaction during hydrostatic carbon burning in massive stars, the tight [Cu/Na]-relation suggests that the yield of Cu also depends on the neutron excess, i.e., Cu is primarily made by the weak *s*-process.

4.3.4. Barium

In Galactic disk stars, Ba (like Y, Zr, and La) is primarily made by the main *s*-process during shell He-burning in AGB stars with a minor (10 - 20 %) contribution from the *r*-process (Arlandini et al. 1999). [Ba/Fe] does not vary significantly as a function of [Fe/H] (e.g., Reddy et al. 2006; Bensby et al. 2014), but Edvardsson et al. (1993), Bensby et al. (2007), and da Silva et al. (2012) found evidence that [Ba/Fe] increases with decreasing age for solar metallicity stars. For open clusters and associations, there is also evidence for an increase of [Ba/Fe] with decreasing age (D'Orazi et al. 2009; Jacobson & Friel 2013; Mishenina et al. 2015b), whereas a cor-

responding increase of [Y/Fe], [Zr/Fe], and [La/Fe] was not found. This is puzzling, because these *s*-process elements are expected to behave in the same way as Ba, and the question has been raised if the Ba abundances derived from the rather strong Ba II lines in spectra of young stars can be trusted (Reddy & Lambert 2015). On the other hand, Maiorca et al. (2011) did find an increase of [Y/Fe], [Zr/Fe]. and [La/Fe] towards younger age of clusters, and suggested that this (and the [Ba/Fe] increase) can be explained if the *s*-process yields of AGB stars with masses between 1 and 1.5 $M_{\odot}$ are enhanced by a factor of $\sim$ 4 (Maiorca et al. 2012) relative to the yields of Busso et al. (2001).

As seen from Fig. 4, both [Y/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] in solar twins show a very clear increasing trend with decreasing stellar age. This indicates that the over-abundance of Ba/Fe derived for young open clusters can be trusted and supports the trend of Y abundances found by Maiorca et al. (2011). There are, however, interesting differences in the [Y/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] trends for the solar twins. While [Y/Fe] continues to decrease with age for the oldest stars, [Ba/Fe] flattens out at a level of about −0.03 dex for stars older than 6 Gyr. Furthermore, the increase of [Ba/Fe] with decreasing age for stars younger than 6 Gyr is steeper than the corresponding increase of [Y/Fe]. Assuming that the increase is due to the delayed contribution of *s*-process elements from low-mass AGB stars, the different age trends of [Y/Fe] and [Ba/Fe] suggest that the Ba/Y yield ratio increases with decreasing stellar mass. This agrees with recent calculations of AGB yields for solar metallicity models by Karakas & Lugaro (2016). According to their Fig. 15, the ratio between heavy *s*-process elements (Ba, La, and Ce) and light *s*-process elements (Sr, Y, and Zr) increases by $\sim$ 0.3 dex when the initial mass of the AGB models decreases from 3 to 1.5 $M_{\odot}$.

4.4. Chemical clocks

As mentioned in Paper I, there is a tight linear relation between [Y/Mg] and age of the solar twins. Fig. 8 shows that the high- and low-[Na/Fe] stars as well as the [α/Fe]-enhanced stars fit the relation very well. A maximum likelihood fit (including all stars and the Sun) with errors in both coordinates taken into account gives

$$[\mathrm{Y/Mg}] = 0.170\,(\pm0.009) - 0.0371\,(\pm0.0013)\ \mathit{Age}\,[\mathrm{Gyr}] \qquad (5)$$

with χ^2_{red} = 1.0 and a rms scatter of 0.024 dex in [Y/Mg] corresponding to a scatter of 0.6 Gyr in age. This relation is valid for the ASTEC ages; using Eq. (1) to convert to the Yonsei-Yale age scale, the slope coefficient becomes −0.0419 dex Gyr^{-1}, i.e., close to the slope of −0.0404 dex Gyr^{-1} determined in Paper I, where the three thick-disk stars and the Sun were not included in the fit.

Fig. 8 shows that there is also a very good correlation between [Y/Al] and stellar age:

$$[\mathrm{Y/Al}] = 0.196\,(\pm0.009) - 0.0427\,(\pm0.0014)\ \mathit{Age}\,[\mathrm{Gyr}]. \qquad (6)$$

Again, χ^2_{red} is close to one and the scatter in [Y/Al] is 0.025 dex.

The fact that [Y/Mg] and [Y/Al] both have a tight linear dependence on age means that [Al/Mg] is closely correlated with age, as also seen from Fig. 9. A linear fit to the data provides the relation

$$[\mathrm{Al/Mg}] = -0.027\,(\pm0.005) + 0.0057\,(\pm0.0008)\ \mathit{Age}\,[\mathrm{Gyr}]. \qquad (7)$$

with a scatter of only 0.011 dex in [Al/Mg]. In particular, the three α-enhanced stars fit the relation very well showing that Al behaves like an α-element with a slightly higher amplitude than Mg.



Fig. 8. [Y/Mg] and [Y/Al] versus stellar age with the same symbols as in Fig. 3. The lines show the linear fits given in Eqs. (5) and (6).



Fig. 9. [Al/Mg] versus stellar age with the same symbols as in Fig. 3. The line show the linear fit given in Eq. (7).

The first evidence of a linear correlation between [Y/Mg] and stellar age with a slope similar to the value found in the present paper was presented by da Silva et al. (2012) for a sample of 25 solar-type stars albeit with a dispersion in [Y/Mg] on the order of ±0.1 dex, which is probably due to errors in the abundance determinations. Recently, Tucci Maia et al. (2016) has determined high-precision Y and Mg abundances for a sample of 88 solar twins and analogs for which Ramírez et al. (2014) determined stellar parameters and ages. The close correlation between [Y/Mg] and stellar age is confirmed for this larger sample of stars in the solar neighborhood. The slope is about the same as in Eq. (2) but the dispersion in [Y/Mg] (±0.037 dex) is larger than found in the present paper (±0.024 dex).

5. Summary and conclusions

Abundances of Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba have been determined for a sample of 21 solar twin stars with precisions around 0.01 dex relative to the solar abundances. The data are discussed together with high-precision abundances of C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, Ti, Cr, Fe, Ni, Zn, and Y derived in Paper I and used to obtain new information about the nucleosynthetic history of elements in the Galactic disk from trends of abundance ratios with stellar age.

Stellar ages with precisions ranging from 0.4 to 0.8 Gyr were derived by comparing the position of stars in the $T_{\rm eff}$ - $\log g$ diagram with isochrones based on stellar models calculated with the Aarhus Stellar Evolution Code (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008). These ages are linearly correlated with the ages based on Yonsei-Yale isochrones (Yi et al. 2001; Kim et al. 2002) derived in Paper I, but the ASTEC ages are about 10 % higher than the YY ages for the oldest stars. This difference of the age scale may be due to the inclusion of metal diffusion in the ASTEC models, which effect is neglected in the YY models.

For stars younger than 6 Gyr and for all elements, [X/Fe] as a function of age can be fitted by linear relations having $\chi^2_{\rm red} \simeq 1$, and for most stars, the residuals do not depend significantly on elemental condensation temperature, $T_{\rm C}$. The Sun and HD 96116 are exceptions, but in these cases the total amplitude in the $T_{\rm C}$ dependence is only about 0.05 dex. For stars older than 6 Gyr, there is no clear dependence between [X/Fe] and age. Three stars with ages between 9 and 10 Gyr have enhanced [α/Fe] ratios and probably belong to the thick-disk population. Stars with ages between 6 and 9 Gyr tend to split up into two groups with high and low values of [X/Fe] for the odd-Z elements Na, Al, Sc, and Cu. The Type II SNe yield for these elements depends on the neutron excess, and stars older than 6 Gyr may therefore have been formed in regions following different chemical evolution paths, whereas stars younger than 6 Gyr (including the Sun) were formed from well-mixed gas. These conclusions are based on a small sample ($N = 21$) of solar twins, and should be further explored by determining high-precision abundances and ages for larger samples. In particular, it would be interesting to investigate if there are correlations between abundance ratios and kinematics of the stars.

According to the discussion in Sect. 4.3, the trends of abundance ratios for Sc, Mn, Cu, and Ba with stellar age provide new information on nucleosynthesis in the Galactic disk. In summary: i) The smooth and relatively small variation of [Sc/Mg] with age suggests that Sc is made in Type II SNe along with the α-capture elements; ii) the near-constancy of [Mn/Fe] with age indicates that the Type Ia to Type II SNe yield ratio for Mn is similar to the corresponding ratio for Fe; iii) the small scatter in [Cu/Na] at all ages suggests that Cu is primarily made by the weak *s*-process in massive stars; iv) the steeper increase of [Ba/Fe] with decreasing stellar age compared to the increase of [Y/Fe] suggests that the Ba/Y yield ratio of AGB stars increases with decreasing stellar mass as recently predicted by Karakas & Lugaro (2016).

Finally, [Y/Mg] and [Y/Al] were found to be sensitive indicators of stellar age, which can be explained by prompt production of Mg and Al in Type II SNe and an increasing contribution of yttrium from low-mass AGB stars as time goes on. For the present sample of 21 solar twins, [Y/Mg] and [Y/Al] depend linearly on age with a slope close to -0.04 dex Gyr^{-1}. This shows that [Y/Mg] or [Y/Al] can be used as chemical clocks to determine relative ages of solar metallicity stars with precisions of about one Gyr. The recent work by Adibekyan et al. (2016) suggests that the [Y/Mg] - age relation is also valid outside the solar neighborhood and for metallicities somewhat different from solar.

Acknowledgements. Vardan Adibekyan is thanked for a constructive referee report that helped to improve the paper significantly and Bengt Gustafsson for inspiring comments on a first version of the manuscript. Maria Bergemann is acknowledged for calculating non-LTE corrections for the the Mn I lines applied to derive Mn abundances and Jørgen Christensen-Dalsgaard for providing ASTEC evolutionary tracks for the mass-range of the solar twins. Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (Grant agreement no.: DNRF106). The research is supported by the ASTERISK project (ASTERoseismic Investigations with SONG and Kepler) funded by the European Research Council (Grant agreement no.: 267864). This research made use of the SIMBAD database operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

References

Adibekyan, V., Delgado-Mena, E., Figueira, P., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1606.04714]
Adibekyan, V. Z., González Hernández, J. I., Delgado Mena, E., et al. 2014, A&A, 564, L15
Adibekyan, V. Z., Santos, N. C., Sousa, S. G., & Israelian, G. 2011, A&A, 535, L11
Adibekyan, V. Z., Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., et al. 2012, A&A, 545, A32
Arlandini, C., Käppeler, F., Wisshak, K., et al. 1999, ApJ, 525, 886
Battistini, C. & Bensby, T. 2015, A&A, 577, A9
Bensby, T., Feltzing, S., & Oey, M. S. 2014, A&A, 562, A71
Bensby, T., Zenn, A. R., Oey, M. S., & Feltzing, S. 2007, ApJ, 663, L13
Bergemann, M. & Gehren, T. 2008, A&A, 492, 823
Biazzo, K., Gratton, R., Desidera, S., et al. 2015, A&A, 583, A135
Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 127
Busso, M., Gallino, R., Lambert, D. L., Travaglio, C., & Smith, V. V. 2001, ApJ, 557, 802
Carlos, M., Nissen, P. E., & Meléndez, J. 2016, A&A, 587, A100
Cayrel de Strobel, G. 1996, A&A Rev., 7, 243
Cescutti, G., Matteucci, F., Lanfranchi, G. A., & McWilliam, A. 2008, A&A, 491, 401
Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2008, Ap&SS, 316, 13
Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Proffitt, C. R., & Thompson, M. J. 1993, ApJ, 403, L75
Cunha, K., Smith, V. V., Suntzeff, N. B., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 379
da Silva, R., Porto de Mello, G. F., Milone, A. C., et al. 2012, A&A, 542, A84
Danilovic, S., Solanki, S. K., Livingston, W., Krivova, N., & Vince, I. 2016, A&A, 587, A33
D'Orazi, V., Magrini, L., Randich, S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 693, L31
Edvardsson, B., Andersen, J., Gustafsson, B., et al. 1993, A&A, 275, 101
Feltzing, S., Fohlman, M., & Bensby, T. 2007, A&A, 467, 665
Fröhlich, C., Hauser, P., Liebendörfer, M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 637, 415
Gaidos, E. 2015, ApJ, 804, 40
González Hernández, J. I., Delgado-Mena, E., Sousa, S. G., et al. 2013, A&A, 552, A6
González Hernández, J. I., Israelian, G., Santos, N. C., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, 1592
Gratton, R. G. & Sneden, C. 1991, A&A, 241, 501
Grenon, M. 1987, Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy, 8, 123
Grevesse, N., Noels, A., & Sauval, A. J. 1996, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 99, Cosmic Abundances, ed. S. S. Holt & G. Sonneborn, 117
Gustafsson, B., Church, R., Davies, M. B., & Rickman, H. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1605.02965]
Gustafsson, B., Edvardsson, B., Eriksson, K., et al. 2008, A&A, 486, 951
Haywood, M., Di Matteo, P., Lehnert, M. D., Katz, D., & Gómez, A. 2013, A&A, 560, A109
Holmberg, J., Nordström, B., & Andersen, J. 2009, A&A, 501, 941
Iwamoto, K., Brachwitz, F., Nomoto, K., et al. 1999, ApJS, 125, 439
Jacobson, H. R. & Friel, E. D. 2013, AJ, 145, 107
Karakas, A. I. & Lugaro, M. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1604.02178]
Kim, Y.-C., Demarque, P., Yi, S. K., & Alexander, D. R. 2002, ApJS, 143, 499
Kobayashi, C., Umeda, H., Nomoto, K., Tominaga, N., & Ohkubo, T. 2006, ApJ, 653, 1145
Korotin, S. A., Andrievsky, S. M., Hansen, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A70
Lind, K., Asplund, M., Barklem, P. S., & Belyaev, A. K. 2011, A&A, 528, A103
Lind, K., Bergemann, M., & Asplund, M. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 50
Lodders, K. 2003, ApJ, 591, 1220
Maiorca, E., Magrini, L., Busso, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 747, 53

Maiorca, E., Randich, S., Busso, M., Magrini, L., & Palmerini, S. 2011, ApJ, 736, 120
Maldonado, J., Eiroa, C., Villaver, E., Montesinos, B., & Mora, A. 2015, A&A, 579, A20
Maldonado, J. & Villaver, E. 2016, A&A, 588, A98
Matteucci, F., Raiteri, C. M., Busson, M., Gallino, R., & Gratton, R. 1993, A&A, 272, 421
Mayor, M., Pepe, F., Queloz, D., et al. 2003, The Messenger, 114, 20
Meléndez, J., Asplund, M., Gustafsson, B., & Yong, D. 2009, ApJ, 704, L66
Mishenina, T., Gorbaneva, T., Pignatari, M., Thielemann, F.-K., & Korotin, S. A. 2015a, MNRAS, 454, 1585
Mishenina, T., Pignatari, M., Carraro, G., et al. 2015b, MNRAS, 446, 3651
Mishenina, T. V., Kovtyukh, V. V., Soubiran, C., Travaglio, C., & Busso, M. 2002, A&A, 396, 189
Mishenina, T. V., Pignatari, M., Korotin, S. A., et al. 2013, A&A, 552, A128
Mulchaey, J. S., Kasliwal, M. M., & Kollmeier, J. A. 2014, ApJ, 780, L34
Nissen, P. E. 2015, A&A, 579, A52
Nissen, P. E., Chen, Y. Q., Schuster, W. J., & Zhao, G. 2000, A&A, 353, 722
Nissen, P. E. & Schuster, W. J. 2011, A&A, 530, A15
Nordström, B., Mayor, M., Andersen, J., et al. 2004, A&A, 418, 989
Önehag, A., Gustafsson, B., & Korn, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A102
Pancino, E., Pasquini, L., Hill, V., Ferraro, F. R., & Bellazzini, M. 2002, ApJ, 568, L101
Perets, H. B., Gal-Yam, A., Mazzali, P. A., et al. 2010, Nature, 465, 322
Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1992, Numerical recipes in FORTRAN. The art of scientific computing (Cambridge: University Press, |c1992, 2nd ed.)
Prochaska, J. X. & McWilliam, A. 2000, ApJ, 537, L57
Prochaska, J. X., Naumov, S. O., Carney, B. W., McWilliam, A., & Wolfe, A. M. 2000, AJ, 120, 2513
Raiteri, C. M., Gallino, R., Busso, M., Neuberger, D., & Kaeppeler, F. 1993, ApJ, 419, 207
Ramírez, I., Khanal, S., Aleo, P., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, 13
Ramírez, I., Meléndez, J., & Asplund, M. 2009, A&A, 508, L17
Ramírez, I., Meléndez, J., Bean, J., et al. 2014, A&A, 572, A48
Ramírez, I., Meléndez, J., Cornejo, D., Roederer, I. U., & Fish, J. R. 2011, ApJ, 740, 76
Reddy, A. B. S. & Lambert, D. L. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 1976
Reddy, B. E., Lambert, D. L., & Allende Prieto, C. 2006, MNRAS, 367, 1329
Romano, D., Karakas, A. I., Tosi, M., & Matteucci, F. 2010, A&A, 522, A32
Romano, D. & Matteucci, F. 2007, MNRAS, 378, L59
Schönrich, R. & Binney, J. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 203
Schuler, S. C., Vaz, Z. A., Katime Santrich, O. J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 815, 5
Sellwood, J. A. & Binney, J. J. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 785
Serminato, A., Gallino, R., Travaglio, C., Bisterzo, S., & Straniero, O. 2009, PASA, 26, 153
Sneden, C., Gratton, R. G., & Crocker, D. A. 1991, A&A, 246, 354
Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., Mayor, M., et al. 2008, A&A, 487, 373
Spina, L., Meléndez, J., Karakas, A. I., et al. 2016a, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1606.04842]
Spina, L., Meléndez, J., & Ramírez, I. 2016b, A&A, 585, A152
Teske, J. K., Ghezzi, L., Cunha, K., et al. 2015, ApJ, 801, L10
Thielemann, F.-K., Nomoto, K., & Hashimoto, M.-A. 1996, ApJ, 460, 408
Timmes, F. X., Woosley, S. E., & Weaver, T. A. 1995, ApJS, 98, 617
Travaglio, C., Gallino, R., Arnone, E., et al. 2004, ApJ, 601, 864
Tucci Maia, M., Meléndez, J., & Ramírez, I. 2014, ApJ, 790, L25
Tucci Maia, M., Ramírez, I., Meléndez, J., et al. 2016, A&A, 590, A32
Unsöld, A. 1955, Physik der Sternatmospharen, MIT besonderer Berucksichtigung der Sonne. (Berlin, Springer, 1955. 2. Aufl.)
van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653
Venn, K. A., Irwin, M., Shetrone, M. D., et al. 2004, AJ, 128, 1177
Wielen, R. 1977, A&A, 60, 263
Woosley, S. E. & Weaver, T. A. 1995, ApJS, 101, 181
Yan, H. L., Shi, J. R., Nissen, P. E., & Zhao, G. 2016, A&A, 585, A102
Yan, H. L., Shi, J. R., & Zhao, G. 2015, ApJ, 802, 36
Yi, S., Demarque, P., Kim, Y.-C., et al. 2001, ApJS, 136, 417
Yi, S. K., Kim, Y.-C., & Demarque, P. 2003, ApJS, 144, 259
Yoshida, T., Umeda, H., & Nomoto, K. 2008, ApJ, 672, 1043
Zhang, H. W., Gehren, T., & Zhao, G. 2008, A&A, 481, 489
Zhang, H. W., Gehren, T., & Zhao, G. 2014, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 298, Setting the scene for Gaia and LAMOST, ed. S. Feltzing, G. Zhao, N. A. Walton, & P. Whitelock, 453–453
Zhao, G. & Magain, P. 1990, A&A, 238, 242